

#40

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

In re PATENT APPLICATION OF

WEINBERG et al

Serial No.: 08/047,068

Filed: April 16, 1993



Atty. Ref.: 1579-21

Group Art Unit: 1816

Examiner: Gambel, P.

For: A METHOD OF INHIBITING HIV INFECTION

RECEIVED

JUL 16 1996

GROUP 1800 July 10, 1996

APPEAL BRIEF

Hon. Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

This is an appeal from the final rejection of claims 8, 9,
11 and 14-18. Claim 19 stands allowed.

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest in this application is Duke
University of Durham, North Carolina.

RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

No other appeals or interferences are known to Appellants, Appellants' legal representative, or assignee which will directly affect or be directed affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 8, 9, 11 and 14-19 are pending in and have been considered in this application, claims 8, 9, 11 and 14-18 have been finally rejected and claim 19 stands allowed. Claims 1-7 were cancelled in the Amendment filed December 23, 1993. Claim 13 was cancelled in the Amendment filed April 22, 1996. Claims 10 and 12 stand withdrawn from consideration. The claims on appeal are set forth in the attached Appendix.

STATUS OF THE AMENDMENTS

The claim revisions proposed in the Amendment under Rule 116 filed December 18, 1995, have not been entered. However, the claim revisions proposed in the Amendment under Rule 116 filed April 22, 1996, have been entered.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In one embodiment, the present invention relates to a method of inhibiting HIV infection of cells susceptible to HIV infection. The method comprises contacting the cells with an amount of an agent that inhibits CD44-facilitated entry of HIV into the cells sufficient to effect the inhibition. (See claim 8 and claim a depending therefrom.)

In a specific embodiment, the present invention relates to a method of inhibiting CD44-facilitated HIV infection of a mononuclear phagocyte susceptible to infection with a strain of HIV. The method comprising contacting the mononuclear phagocyte with an amount of an anti-CD44 antibody sufficient bind to CD44

molecules present on the surface of the mononuclear phagocyte and thereby inhibit the CD44-facilitated infection of the mononuclear phagocyte. (See claim 16 and claims depending therefrom.)

Support for the invention as claimed in claims 8, 9, 11 and 14-18 can be found, for example, at pages 29-30 and in the working Example at page 31.

The foregoing represents a concise summary of the invention.

THE ISSUES

Claims 8, 9, 11 and 14-18 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as the subject specification allegedly fails to provide an adequate written description of the invention and allegedly fails to teach how to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 11 stands rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as it is allegedly unclear as to whether the claimed biological materials are (1) known and readily available to the public, (2) sequenced, or (3) deposited.

Claim 11 also stands rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Claim 17 stands rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as the specification allegedly fails to provide an adequate written description of the invention.

Accordingly, the issues presented for review are:

- i) whether the subject matter of claims 8, 9, 11 and 14-18 is enabled by the disclosure;
- ii) whether the subject matter of claim 11 is enabled by the disclosure; and
- iii) whether claim 11 is definite.

GROUPING OF THE CLAIMS

For each ground of rejection that applies to two or more claims, those claims do not stand or fall together for the reasons that follow.

THE ARGUMENTS

i) Rejection of claims 8, 9, 11 and 14-18 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

The Examiner's rejection of the claims as non-enabled is in error for the reasons that follow.

The Examiner contends that Appellants have not disclosed how to use CD44-specific antibodies therapeutically in mammals. The Examiner comments on an alleged lack of correlation between *in vitro* and *in vivo* operability of the claimed therapeutic strategy. The Examiner further states that, in the area to which the invention relates, *in vitro* and animal studies have not correlated well with clinical trial results in humans.

The Examiner's comments in support of the rejection would appear to relate more properly to a rejection under 35 USC 101 than 35 USC 112. Such a rejection was made in this case, but was subsequently withdrawn. Accordingly, the record indicates that the question of utility has been adequately addressed and comments relating, for example, to correlations between models

and humans and the "asserted operability" of the claimed invention are submitted to be improper.

The subject specification teaches how to make and how to use the invention. It fully discloses how to make the anti-CD44 antibodies and how to use those antibodies to inhibit infection of cellular targets. Mononuclear phagocytes, which are concentrated in the mucosa (for example, the vaginal mucosa), are a particularly important target and cells of this type are specifically recited in claim 16 and claims depending therefrom.

One skilled in the art would appreciate that for *in vivo* treatment, intravenous administration is appropriate. For topical treatment, to which claim 18 is specifically directed, the agent can be administered in a solution (eg liquid or gel or foam form) within a condom or to a mucosal surface. In this regard, page 30 of application, lines 20-22, are noted. So administered, HIV infection of the mononuclear phagocytes (eg on mucosal (for example, vaginal) surfaces) can be inhibited.

Optimum formulations and dosing regimens to be used can be readily established by one skilled in the art - no undue

experimentation would be required. Indeed, the Examiner has not indicated why such would not be the case.

As indicated above, claim 16 relates to inhibition of HIV infection of mononuclear phagocytes (claim 14 specifically recites human monocytes). The manuscript of Rivadenevia (of record) makes clear the inhibition of HIV infection of mononuclear phagocytes and indeed the Examiner has acknowledged the positive results presented therein. The Examiner appears to fault the document for not showing 100% effectiveness using the CD44-specific antibodies. Such levels are not required to satisfy the requirements of 35 USC 112. Indeed, many commercially important drugs are not 100% effective.

Summarizing, the subject specifically teaches how to make and how to use the subject matter of each of the claims on appeal. Claim 16 (and claims depending therefrom) recites mononuclear phagocytes which are of particular importance in HIV transmission. Claim 17 is limited to mononuclear phagocytes of the vagina which is a readily accessible body surface. Claim 18 is limited to topical administration which is particularly well suited for such cells. The concerns expressed by the Examiner

WEINBERG et al -- Serial No.: 08/047,068

are submitted to be particularly inapplicable to the subject matter of these dependent claims.

Reversal is requested.

ii) Rejection of claim 11 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

The Examiner's rejection of claim 11 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, is in error and should be reversed for the reasons that follow.

The Examiner contends that evidence of availability to the public of the A1G3 antibody/hybridoma is not of record. On the contrary, it was pointed out in the December 18, 1995 Amendment that A1G3 has been publicly available since prior to April 1991. Documentation from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) making clear the contribution of A1G3 to the Collection on December 16, 1988, was submitted by Supplemental Amendment under Rule 116 on December 19, 1995. This document evidences the public availability of the A1G3. Nothing more should be required.

Reversal is requested.

iii) Rejection of claim 11 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

The Examiner's rejection of claim 11 as indefinite is not well founded. A1G3 has specific meaning as evidenced by the ATCC documentation referred to in paragraph (ii) above. The subject specification defines A1G3 as an anti-CD44 antibody (see page 31, line 17) and the ATCC "Collection of Animal Cell Lines" form dated December 16, 1988 (giving A1G3 ATCC No. HB177) provides the source and characteristics of the cell line. Further, the ATCC form makes reference to pertinent publications.

In view of the above, it should be clear that A1G3 is not merely "a laboratory designation". Rather, A1G3 has clear meaning to those skilled in the art who have long had access via the ATCC.

Reversal is requested.

* * *

The Examiner's rejections under 35 USC 112 are not well founded for the foregoing reasons and reversal of same is requested.

WEINBERG et al -- Serial No.: 08/047,068

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By Mary J. Wilson
Mary J. Wilson
Reg. No. 32,955

MJW:tat

1100 North Glebe Road
8th Floor
Arlington, Virginia 22201-4714
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

APPENDIX

8. A method of inhibiting HIV infection of cells susceptible to HIV infection comprising contacting said cells with an amount of an agent that inhibits CD44-facilitated entry of HIV into said cells sufficient to effect said inhibition.

9. The method according to claim 8 wherein said agent is selected from the group consisting of an anti-CD44 antibody, soluble CD44, CD44 oligopeptides and hyaluronate.

11. The method according to claim 16 wherein the agent is anti-CD44 antibody A3D8 or A1G3.

14. The method according to claim 16 wherein said phagocyte is a human monocyte.

15. The method according to claim 16 wherein said infection is HIV-1 infection.

16. A method of inhibiting CD44-facilitated HIV infection of a mononuclear phagocyte susceptible to infection with a strain of HIV comprising contacting said mononuclear phagocyte with an

WEINBERG et al -- Serial No.: 08/047,068

amount of an anti-CD44 antibody sufficient to bind to CD44 molecules present on the surface of said mononuclear phagocyte and thereby inhibit said CD44-facilitated infection of said mononuclear phagocyte by said strain of a HIV.

17. The method according to claim 16 wherein said mononuclear phagocytes are vaginal cells.

18. The method according to claim 16 wherein said contacting is effected by topical administration.